1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 8 AT SEATTLE 9 JERRMELL RASHADD WARREN, CASE NO. C20-6136JLR 10 ORDER ADOPTING REPORT Plaintiff, 11 AND RECOMMENDATION v. 12 SHERRY RAY, et al., 13 Defendants. 14 15 This matter come before the court on the Report and Recommendation ("R&R") 16 of United States Magistrate Judge Michelle L. Peterson (R&R (Dkt. #15)). Having carefully reviewed the foregoing, all other relevant document, and the applicable law, the 17 18 court ADOPTS the Report and Recommendation (Dkt. #15) and DISMISSES Mr. Warren's complaint without prejudice pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B). 19 20 A district court has jurisdiction to review a Magistrate Judge's report and 21 recommendation on dispositive matters. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). "The district judge must determine de novo any part of the magistrate judge's disposition that has been properly 22

1	objected to." Id. The court reviews de novo those portions of the report and
2	recommendation to which specific written objection is made. <i>United States v.</i>
3	Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc). When no objections are
4	filed, the court need not review de novo the report and recommendation. Wang v.
5	Masaitis, 416 F.3d 992, 1000 n.13 (9th Cir. 2005).
6	Here, no party has objected to Magistrate Judge Peterson's Report and
7	Recommendation. (See Dkt.) Thus, the court need not review de novo the Report and
8	Recommendation. See Wang, 416 F.3d at 1000. Moreover, the court has examined the
9	record before it, including the Report and Recommendation, and finds that Magistrate
10	Judge Peterson's reasoning persuasive in light of that record. Accordingly, the court
11	ADOPTS the Report and Recommendation (Dkt. # 15) in its entirety. The Clerk is
12	DIRECTED to send copies of this Order to the parties and to Magistrate Judge Peterson.
13	Dated this 16th day of June, 2021.
14	
15	1 Jun R. Klut
16	JAMÉS L. ROBART United States District Judge
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	